



Sherman Station, Wyoming Territory, looked like this six months after the completion of the coast-to-coast railroad line.

tinentials. Throughout the midlands, competition for business led to the entry into small towns of two and sometimes even three or four roads, where one could scarcely have done a profitable business.

The Southeast and the Southwest lagged both in railroad construction and in the combination of local lines into through systems. Sparseness of population and war-induced poverty accounted in part for the backwardness of the Southeast, but the competition of coastal shipping was also a deterrent to railroad growth. The only southern transmountain crossing utilized before 1880 was the Chesapeake and Ohio, and, except for the Southern, no main north-south line was completed until the 1890s. In the early 1900s, competitive building was still taking place in Florida. Meanwhile, although the Southwest had been tapped as early as 1873 by lines running from St. Louis and Kansas City the construction of a closely knit railroad network did not begin until the 1890s, although this activity flourished until the eve of World War I.

Because the rate of growth of the railway network varied so in different regions, investment was extended over time. Railroad investment proceeded in "towering waves," cycles varying in length from 10–23 years. Annual investment in railroads rose in peaks in 1873, 1882, 1891, and 1911 and fell to troughs in 1876, 1886, 1897, and 1920.⁴ Railroad construction contributed to economic instability and at the same time served as a powerful stimulus to economic growth, accounting for 20 percent of U.S. gross capital formation in

⁴Melville J. Ulmer, *Trends and Cycles in Capital Formation by United States Railroads, 1870–1950*, Occasional Paper 43 (New York: National Bureau of Economic Research, 1954), pp. 25–34.